

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/500,021	06/25/2004	Jixiong Dong	9896-000023/US/NP	2768	
27572 HARNESS D	7590 04/01/2009 ICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 828			CHRISS, ANDREW W		
BLOOMFIEL	D HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2419		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/01/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/500,021	DONG, JIXIONG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andrew Chriss	2419		

	Andrew Chriss	2419					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this optication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the pplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131: or (3) a Request of Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time ariods:						
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ul>	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	a extension fee				
Leterisons of the first by obtained under 3 of XRT 1,000g, in let dest of thing the period mode of XRT 1,000g and the appropriate extension feel under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filled within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Cor</li></ol>	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment can non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 5-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Applicant's arguments pertain to an unentered amendment</li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
/Hassan Kizou/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2419							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claim language "and carrying services, the physical media inlouding nodes and fiber connecting those nodes" and "protection of the services carried by a first logic system" in Claim 1, "the working mode of the node belong to the first logic-system" and "sending down working pages to that node if that node is in the normal working mode" in Claim 3, and "carrying services, the physical media including nodes and fiber connecting those nodes" in Claim 7 raise new issues and would require further consideration and/or search.